



EU costs and implications of the European Chicken Commitment

Jason Gittins & Dr Toby Townsend

www.adas.uk

May 2024





- Compare the cost of production (on-farm) for 'standard' and European Chicken Commitment (ECC) in the EU
- Make comparisons 'per kg of meat'
- Assess the effect of ECC on bird places in existing EU housing and the number and cost of new buildings to maintain current output
- Estimate additional requirements for feed and water in ECC production
- Calculate carbon footprint for standard and ECC production
- Outside the scope of the study animal welfare implications

European Chicken Commitment on-farm overview



Subject	Requirement
Stocking density	A maximum of 30kg liveweight per m ²
Choice of breed	Must demonstrate higher welfare outcomes Guide threshold value of 60g daily growth rate, based on 2.2kg liveweight
Flock thinning	Discouraged; if practiced then one thin only
Environmental standards	Include: Natural light Perch space and pecking substrates

Project approach



For standard and ECC production on a 'per bird' basis

- Determine typical flock performance
- Establish input costs
- Compare meat output
- For each EU Member State
 - Estimate the range of stocking densities used
 - Compile current statistics on annual chicken output in each Member State

Build to EU-wide implications

Information sources



- Published material from breed companies supplying chickens for standard and ECC production
- Official statistics for bird numbers
- Published scientific and technical papers
- Industry stakeholder information gathered from a range of Member States

Average liveweight target assumptions



	Standard and ECC production
Liveweight at thinning (kg)	1.800
Liveweight at final depopulation (kg)	2.700

Production cycle length and mortality



	Standard	ECC
Days to reach 1.8kg (thinning)	31	37
Days to reach 2.7kg (final depop.)	40	51
Turnaround time (days)	10	10
Annual production cycles	7.30	5.98
Mortality (%)	3.0	2.5

Average growth rate for ECC is around 52g per day



Key assumptions:

- 50% of all mortality is before thinning and 50% after
- Stocking density is to the maximum permitted

	Standard (39kg/m²)	ECC (30kg/m²)
Day-old birds (per m ²)	21.98	16.87
Birds at thinning (per m ²)	21.66	16.66
Birds at final depopulation (per m ²)	14.44	11.11
Average liveweight (kg)	2.409	2.408



Feed use is a key factor for economic and sustainability assessments Based on breed standard data

	Standard Aviagen 308	ECC Hubbard Redbro
Feed conversion ratio	1.55	1.85
Feed use (kg per bird)	3.84	4.58
Water use (litres per bird)	6.50	7.80

Carcass and meat yield



Based on breed standard data

Percentage of liveweight	Standard Aviagen 308	ECC Hubbard Redbro
Carcass yield	72.65	71.05
Breast	25.62	20.70
Leg	23.13	21.64
Wing	7.54	7.60
Total (breast + leg + wing)	56.29	49.94

Key cost assumptions



	Standard	ECC
Day-old chick (eurocents)	41.2	47.2
Feed (€ per tonne)	448	438
Capital costs - existing units (€ per m ²)	350	361

Capital costs for ECC include the cost of fitting windows to existing houses to provide natural daylight

Production costs, eurocents per bird (+21.9%)











Feed and water use per kg of meat (+34%)





Standard European Chicken Commitment









How much extra growing space would be needed to maintain the current EU output of chicken and chicken meat in ECC production?

Calculating the extra growing space needed



- Stocking rates in the EU vary due to local legislation, customer requirements etc.
- For each Member State, the current percentage of birds at each stocking rate was estimated
- Published statistics on chicken output were then used to calculate the amount of growing space in each Member State and the EU total
- The amount of space needed to maintain (i) bird numbers and (ii) meat output in ECC production was calculated

Changes in EU growing space requirements





What if no new houses are built in the EU?







To maintain current EU chicken meat output

- An extra 25.55 million m² of growing space would be required
- Equivalent to 9-10,000 new buildings in the EU (typical size)
- At a current new-build price of €420 per m², the cost of this would be €8.24 billion
- Feed intake would increase by 7.3 million tonnes
- Water use would increase by 12.44 million m³

Sustainability – system boundary





Sustainability – GHG emissions (+24.4%)





Sustainability – Water use (+34.3%)









- Cost of production per bird is higher for ECC than for standard production
- The cost difference increases on a 'per kg of meat' basis
- The number of bird places is lower in ECC, due to lower stocking density
- Reduced annual output of birds and meat, due to differences in cycle length and breed
- Many new buildings would be needed to maintain current EU chicken meat output
- Feed and water use is higher in ECC; the difference increases per kg of meat
- Carbon footprint is higher for ECC than for standard production





EU costs and implications of the European Chicken Commitment

Jason Gittins & Dr Toby Townsend

www.adas.uk

May 2024



Further additional costs of the European Chicken Commitment (ECC)

Wolfgang Schleicher Managing Director German Poultry Association (ZDG) AVEC-Webinar: 22. Mai 2024 10 a. m.





Assumptions of the calculation

- same base, as in the ADAS report
- on top: further additional costs not addressed by the ADAS report
- no permissions for building the needed additional production capacities (barns)





Where do further additional costs arise?

non-use of existing capacities:

- from parent herds/barns
- in hatcheries
- while transporting
- in the feed mills
- during slaughter and cooling





How big are the further additional costs?

- +21.9 % (ADAS report) per bird
- +14.8 % (further additional costs to the ADAS report) per bird
- in the whole compared with the conventional production: **36.7%** per bird
- in the whole compared with the conventional production: **54.2%** per kg meat



Expected consequences changing to ECC

- losing self sufficiency dependency of third/foreign countries
- increased negative environmental impacts (losing efficiency)
- losing international competitiveness
- loss of jobs
- increase of imports
- increasing food costs for consumer





Thanks for your attention.

